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## Why Appraise Projects?

- Optimum allocation of available resources
- Objective parameters for decision making
- Coordination and prioritization of initiatives
- Agree on and commit to project benefits


## Classification of Projects

## Commercial products and services

- Telecommunicatio ns, FMCG, hotels, etc.
- Markets forces are best suited for efficient delivery


## Infrastructure

- Ports, roads, education, etc.
- Financially viable but other considerations monopoly, time horizon, etc.


## Social obligations

- Healthcare, safety, food, water, shelter, etc.
- Financially unviable but social necessity


## Economic Environment



Inputs


## Justification for a Project

- Are the project benefits greater than the costs?
- Are MY benefits greater than MY costs?
- Is this the best way to achieve these benefits (engineering or institutional options)?
- Can similar benefits be achieved more efficiently by some other approach?
- Is this the best place to allocate resources?
- Do other projects have greater benefits?
- Are other types of benefits more important?


## A Typical Project (C)



## Financial Criteria

- Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Payback period
- Net Present Value
- Economic Value Added
- Adjusted Present Value
- Rates of return
- Return on Investments
- Accounting Rate of Return
- Internal Rate of Return


## Exercise: Capital Investment Project

Option 1 : 20,000 sq ft

- You have a proposal to build an office complex
- The expected life of the project is 10 years, during which, there is adequate demand
- Site available is perfectly suited

Option 2 :50,000 sq ft

- You have a proposal to build a commercial complex
- The expected life of the project is 10 years, during which, there is adequate demand
- Site available is perfectly suited


## Exercise: Capital Investment Project

## Option 1 : 20,000 sq ft

Option 2 : 50,000 sq ft

- Entire project costs Rs 10 million (1 Crore)
- Over next 10 years, the facility is expected to generate revenue of Rs 35 MN (3.5 MN/year) and incur cost worth Rs 15 MN
- Entire project costs Rs 15 million (1.5 Crore)
- Over next 10 years, the facility is expected to generate revenue of Rs 48 MN (4.8 MN/ year) and incur cost worth Rs 20 MN


## Cost-Benefit Analysis



## Cost Benefit Analysis

Option 1 :

- Total cost = \$3 MN x 3 $+\$ 1 \mathrm{MN}+\$ 15 \mathrm{MN}=$ \$25 MN
- Total benefit = \$35 MN
- Benefits > Costs

Option 2 :

- Total cost = \$13 MN + \$2 MN + \$20 MN = $\$ 35 \mathrm{MN}$
- Total benefit $=\$ 48$ MN
- Benefits > Costs


## Pay-back Period



## Payback Period

Option 1 :

- Initial Investment = \$10MN
- Yearly profit = \$3.5\$1.5 = \$2.0 MN
- It takes 5 years to recover initial investment of $\$ \mathbf{1 0}$ MN

Option 2 :

- Initial Investment = \$15 MN
- Yearly profit = \$4.8$\$ 2.0=\$ 2.8 \mathrm{MN}$
- It takes \$15/\$2.8 = 5.36 years i.e. 5 years and 4 months to recover \$ 15 MN


## Net Present Value



## Discounting the future cash flows

- Money today is worth more than having money tomorrow

$$
\mathrm{C}_{i}
$$

Present Value of $\mathrm{C}_{i}=$

$$
(1+r)^{i}
$$

where,

- $r$ is the discount rate
- $C_{i}$ is the net cash flow coming in during the $i^{\text {th }}$ year
- More distant cash flows are more risky, hence they are discounted more


## Exercise: Capital Investment Project

## Option 1 :

Option 2 :

| Year | 0 | 1 | . | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Plant | -9.0 |  |  |  |
| Accessories | -1.0 |  |  |  |
| Revenue |  | 3.5 | .. | 3.5 |
| Operating <br> Cost |  | -1.5 | .. | -1.5 |
| Discounted <br> Value @ 4\% | -10.0 | 1.9 | .. | 1.4 |

$\mathbf{N P V}=\$ 6.22 \mathrm{M}>\mathbf{0}$

| Year | 0 | 1 | .. | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant | -13.0 |  |  |  |
| Accessories | -2.0 |  |  |  |
| Revenue |  | 4.8 | .. | 4.8 |
| Operating <br> Cost |  | -2.0 | .. | -2.0 |
| Discounted <br> Value @ 4\% | -15.0 | 2.7 | .. | 1.9 |

$\mathbf{N P V}=\$ 7.7 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{M}>\mathbf{0}$

## Internal Rate of Return



IRR 1 = $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ \%
IRR2 = $\mathbf{1 3 . 3}$ \%

## Weighted Average Cost of Capital

- Returns for the operator should be greater than the operator's post-tax WACC

where,
- $D$ - value of debt
- $E$ - value of equity shares
- $t$ - corporate tax rate (marginal)
- $r_{D}$-average rate of interest on debt
- $r_{E}$-returns required by the shareholders


## Appraising Project Risks

- Impact of risks on the project viability
- Identify downward slide at the earliest
- Reassess crucial factors after sensitivity analysis
. Labour costs
Highways Project
- Material costs
* Toll revenues
* Traffic growth rate
- Project viability assessed under different scenarios
* Land acquisition problems

Highways Project

* Shortage of materials/labour
* Economic downturn
- Use of simulation for analysing complex projects


## Project Risks

- Schedule Risks
- Time overruns
- Performance Risks
- Need for redesign
- Counterparty risk
- Cost overruns
- Socio-political risks
- Price Risk
- Macroeconomic Risks - Exchange Rate/Interest Rate
- Force majeure


## Conclusion : Broader Issues in Project Selection

- Financial Analysis using NPV technique provides the skeleton for project selection, but not the meat
- There may be other projects that are even better for achieving the same objectives:
- Better materials \& technologies to build the same facility
- Different design for a structure to serve the same purpose
- Different location for a similar structure
- Different scale (larger or smaller)
- In general, you cannot prove that your design is the best, you can only defend and refine (or abandon) your design in response to other options
- Carl D. Martland, MIT


## Back-up Slides

## Identification of Projects

- Gap in infrastructure by benchmarking
- Human development indicators
- Per capita availability
- Demand from community
- Request / suggestions by community leaders
- Proxy indicators for demand
- Stakeholder consultations
- District level, block level, village level
- Providers, beneficiaries, NGOs, department, etc. $\bigcirc$.


## Spectrum of PPP Projects



## What is relevant in financial analyses

- Future cash flows - ignore sunk cost
- Operating cash flows
- Incremental cash flows over status quo
- Non-cash expenses like depreciation, overheads, etc.
- Changes in capital (working capital)
- Include opportunity cost
- Expectations about inflation
- Effects of tax


## Net Present Value

- Appreciates time value of money
- Only cash profits are important
- Additive method
- Provides a direct link between management decision and shareholder value
- Mutually exclusive projects are handled better
- Able to absorb term structure of interest rates


## Problems with Payback Period as Criterion

A. Net Cash Flows Over a 10-Year Life


## B. Net Cash Flows Over 25 Years

(Assuming Steady State After Year 10)

C. Cash Flows Over 25 Years (Increasing Competition \& Maintenance)

D. Net Cash Flows Over 50 Years (Rehab and Expansion in Prime Location)


## Problems with IRR as Criterion - 1

## Option 1

- In the market, money can be lent or borrowed at $8 \%$ interest rate
- Initial investment = 100 Rs
- Returns from project = 12 Rs
- $\operatorname{IRR}=12 \%$

Option 2

- In the market, money can be lent or borrowed at $8 \%$ interest rate
- Initial investment = 1000 Rs
- Returns from project = 100 Rs
- $\operatorname{IRR}=10 \%$


## Problems with IRR as Criterion - 2

 (29)

## Risk Mitigation

## (30)

- Contracts
- Insurance
- Derivatives
- Transfer of risks to appropriate partner
- Monitoring
- Programme Evaluation and Review Technique
- Do nothing !
- Natural Hedging


## Sharing Risks with Partners

- Multiple partners forming a special purpose vehicle (SPV, registered as a company) for the project
- The SPV then takes loan from a bank or a consortium of banks
- Typically the loan is non-recourse to the parent partners
- Thus the partners have an option to walk away in case of failure in the project - additional risk to banks and to the completion of project


## Sharing Risks: Example

- Caspian Oil and Pipeline Projects
- Azerbaijan, Georgia and Turkey governments
- Azerbaijan International Oil Consortium (13 firms) : British Petroleum, Amoco, Statoil* (Norway), Turkish Petroleum *, Amerada Hess, Unocal, Exxon, Pennzoil, Ramco, LUKoil* (Russia), Itochu Corporation (Japan), Socar* (Az)
- Lenders' consortium


## Other Back-up Slides

## Cost of Equity

Also known as market capitalization rate or required rate of return by equity investors

## Dividend discount models

## Cost of Equity

## Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)

## Dividend Discount Models

- Walter Model
- H Model
- Multi-stage Growth Model
- Gordon Growth Model


## DIV1

Market Capitalization Rate $=$-------- + Growth Rate
Po

- where, DIV1 = dividend to be paid in next year
- Po = Current share price


## Growth Rate for Dividend Discount Models

- Security Analysts
- Industry Experts
- Fundamentals of the company
- Revenue from year $n+1$ will be more than revenues from year $n$
- To the extent to which operating assets are higher

Growth Rate of Profits = Plough Back Ratio x Return on Equity

- What is the reinvestment policy of the company?
- Plough back $=50 \%$ and Return on equity $=12 \%$
- Growth $=50 \% \times 12 \%=6 \%$


## Capital Asset Pricing Model

- Equity Market Risk Premium
- Extra Returns (risk premium) from an investment are dependant on the underlying risks
- Security Market Line

$$
r-r_{f}=\beta\left(r_{m}-r_{f}\right)
$$

- $\beta$ (beta) is the measure of sensitivity of the investment to market movements

